

# Index

## A

- Acute exposure, 254
- Adequacy of nutrient intake
  - confidence levels, 6, 56-57, 60, 64-65, 67, 68, 189-190, 197, 199, 200
  - criteria of, 23, 27
  - defined, 254
  - household level, 233-234
  - in individual-level assessments, 6, 56-57, 60, 64-65, 67, 68, 189-190, 197, 199, 200
  - observed difference and, 187
  - probability of correct conclusion
    - about, 190, 199
  - risk-reduction based indicator of, 2, 23, 27
  - uncertainty in, 186, 188-189
- Adequate Intakes (AIs). *See also specific nutrients*
  - adaptations in, 26
  - applicable population, 26
  - context for use, 23, 24, 25, 111
  - defined, 3, 106, 239, 254
  - derivation of, 25, 26, 27, 106-109
  - EARs compared, 59, 109, 163, 198
  - extrapolation from other age groups, 26
  - and food guides, 38
  - in group-level assessments, 4, 12, 106, 109-112
  - and group mean intake, 6, 12, 107, 108, 110, 111, 131
  - indicators used to set, 27, 107-109
  - in individual-level assessments, 4, 6-7, 46, 51, 58-62, 67, 68, 69, 194, 198-200
  - limitations in dietary assessment, 4, 109-112
  - methods used to set, 239-253
  - misuse of, 111-112
  - nutrients, by life-stage groups, 107-109, 240-253, 274-275
  - and prevalence of inadequate intakes, 12, 109-110
  - pseudo EAR calculated from, 111-112
  - qualitative interpretation of intakes
    - relative to, 62
  - RDAs compared, 26-27, 59, 109, 198
  - risk of inadequacy, 59
  - uses, 25, 30
  - usual intakes above or below, 46, 59-60, 110, 126
- Adjusted standardized intakes, 137
- Adjusting intake distributions
  - day-to-day correlation in data and, 9, 96, 196-197
  - heterogeneous within-person variation and, 95
  - Iowa State University method, 98-102, 160

- large within-person variation and, 94-95
- National Research Council method, 93-94, 97-98, 100
- overview of methods, 9-10, 96-102
- reasons for, 9, 94-96
- skewed distributions and, 46, 61-62, 95-96
- software development needs, 167
- from survey data, 96, 128
- Adolescents, 14 through 18 years
  - Als, 26, 107
  - household-level assessments, 234
  - RDAs, 26
  - within-subject variation in nutrient intake, 192, 194
- Adults, 19 through 50 years
  - Als, 25, 107
  - extrapolation of data to other age groups, 26
  - household-level assessments, 234
  - within-subject variation in nutrient intake, 191, 193
- Adults, 51 through 70 years
  - Als, 25, 107
  - within-subject variation in nutrient intake, 191, 193
- Adults, >70 years
  - assessing diet of individuals, 66-67, 68
  - within-subject variation in nutrient intake, 191, 193
- Anthropometry, 47, 66, 89
- Assessment. *See* Group-level assessments; Individual-level assessments
- Assisted living setting, individual-level assessments in, 66-67, 68
- Asymmetrical distribution. *See* Skewed distribution

## B

- B vitamins, 42. *See also individual vitamins*
- Basal requirement, 22
- Behavioral research, 17, 164
- Beltsville One Year Dietary Survey, 195
- Bias
  - in adjusted standardized intakes, 137
  - in cut-point method, 88, 91, 93, 214, 215, 216, 221-223, 224, 227, 230
  - defined, 254-255
  - EAR and, 53n.1, 54, 56, 93-102

- in energy intakes, 164
- in food intake estimation, 17, 164
- in observed mean intakes, 58
- in prevalence of inadequacy, 86, 88, 91, 99, 102, 155, 156, 160, 207, 214, 215, 216, 221-223, 224, 227
- requirement distribution and, 197
- Biochemical indices, 47, 73, 99, 166
- Biological parameters, in individual-level assessments, 47, 66, 67, 69
- Biotin
  - Als, 25, 108, 109, 250-251, 275
  - group-level assessments, 10-11, 73, 82-83, 108
- Body mass index, 89
- Body weight, and DRIs, 148

## C

- Calcium
  - Als, 25, 51, 107, 108, 240-243, 274
  - group-level assessments, 10-11, 73, 82-83, 107, 108
  - individual-level assessments, 60-61, 68, 198
  - prevalence of excess intakes, 14-15, 130, 131, 142-143
  - prevalence of inadequate intakes, 14-15
  - skewed intake distributions, 95
  - supplements, 95
  - ULs, 115, 130, 131, 270
  - usual nutrient intakes of children, 129, 130
  - within-subject variation in intake, 191, 192
- Canada
  - Council on Nutrition, 2, 30
  - Food Guide to Healthy Eating, 33, 38
  - nutrition assistance programs, 39
- Canadian International Development Agency, 234
- Canadian Recommended Nutrient Intakes
  - defined, 257
  - DRIs contrasted, 22-23
  - RDAs contrasted, 2, 30
  - uses, 30, 31-42, 127
- Carbohydrates, 193-194
- Carotenes, 56, 126, 158, 191, 192, 197
- Child and Adult Care Feeding Program, 35

- Children, ages 1 through 8 years. *See also*  
 Life-stage groups; *individual nutrients*  
 AIs, 26, 107, 129  
 characteristics of usual nutrient intake,  
 129  
 derivation of DRIs for, 26  
 EARs, 129  
 RDAs, 26, 129  
 within-subject variation in nutrient  
 intake, 192, 194
- Cholesterol, 193-194
- Choline  
 AIs, 25, 108, 109, 250-251, 275  
 group-level assessments, 10-11, 73, 82-  
 83, 108  
 prevalence of excess intakes, 14-15,  
 131, 142-143  
 ULs, 116-117, 131, 271
- Chronic disease risk reduction  
 food health claims, 41  
 as indicator of nutrient adequacy, 2, 23
- Chronic exposure, 255
- Chronic intakes above ULs, 63, 125, 126
- Clinical dietetics, 36-37, 41, 47
- Cluster analysis, 133, 255
- Coefficient of variation  
 of daily intake, 63, 67, 191-194, 196, 200  
 in EARs, 50-51, 56, 194, 196  
 and nonnormal distribution, 196  
 of requirements for nutrients, 83, 197
- Confidence levels  
 in group-level adequacy of intakes, 12,  
 110  
 in group-level safety of intakes, 122  
 in individual-level adequacy of intakes,  
 6, 56-57, 60, 64-65, 67, 68, 189-190,  
 197, 199, 200  
 in individual-level safety of intakes,  
 199, 201
- Continuing Survey of Food Intakes of  
 Individuals (CSFII), 53, 54-55, 58,  
 61, 64, 65, 153, 166, 195-196, 199
- Copper, 191, 192
- Criterion of nutritional adequacy, 23, 25,  
 27
- Critical adverse affect, by nutrient and  
 life-stage group, 115-119
- Cumulative distribution function, 205
- Cut-point method, 120  
 accuracy, 81  
 applicable nutrients, 10-11, 82-83, 91  
 assumptions in, 9, 11, 167, 211  
 asymmetrical requirement distribution,  
 89-91, 212, 229-231  
 bias in, 88, 91, 93, 214, 215, 216, 221-  
 223, 224, 227, 230  
 correlated intakes and requirements  
 and, 81, 87-89, 212-224  
 defined, 255, 257  
 distribution of usual intakes, 131, 212,  
 213  
 EAR and, 74, 81-93, 99, 191, 208-231, 257  
 energy intakes and, 81, 88-89, 212, 224  
 FAO/WIIO simulation model, 229-231  
 inapplicable nutrients, 81, 88-89, 91,  
 224  
 independence of intakes and  
 requirements, 81, 83-84, 85, 86, 88  
 joint distribution in, 83-84, 85, 86, 204,  
 208, 209, 213  
 performance assessment, 18, 87, 102,  
 167, 211-231  
 prevalence of inadequate intakes, 18,  
 81-82, 86, 99, 104, 167, 209-210,  
 213, 214-221, 225-226, 230  
 principle, 208-209, 232  
 probability approach compared, 208,  
 209, 212, 213, 229, 231  
 requirement distribution and, 11, 81,  
 83, 86, 89-91, 163, 208, 209, 212,  
 229-231  
 requirement variance relative to intake  
 variance, 11, 83, 86, 91-93, 167,  
 212, 224-229  
 uncertainty in, 158-159
- ## D
- Daily Value (DV), 41
- Deficiency, defined, 255
- Defined nutritional status, 25, 106
- Density estimation. *See* Nutrient density  
 approach
- Diagnostic considerations, 47
- Diet histories, 49-50, 58, 152-153
- Diet planning, 2, 30
- Diet software programs, 47
- Dietary assessment. *See also* Group-level  
 assessments; Individual-level  
 assessments  
 information sources for, 45  
 with RDAs and RNIs, 2, 30-31, 32-33

- Dietary Guidelines for Americans, 33
- Dietary intake data. *See also* Dietary survey data; Measuring dietary intakes; Observed intakes; Usual intakes of nutrients
- accuracy of nutrient analysis of, 46-47
  - collection, 49-50, 60, 94, 96, 99, 151-154, 159, 164, 235
  - factors influencing, 48, 150, 163
  - for household-level assessments, 104, 156-157, 235-236
  - for individual assessments, 49-50, 54
  - interpretation of, 51
  - quality of, 17, 163-165
  - RDAs and RNIs, 32-33, 38
  - research recommendations, 163-165
  - under-reporting, 17, 48, 58, 153-154, 160, 164
  - usual intake reflected in, 60
- Dietary records
- one-day, 10, 99-100, 101-102, 127-128
  - three-day, 54, 56, 94, 200
  - nonconsecutive days, 94, 127-128
  - seven-day, 67
  - weighed food, 153
- Dietary Reference Intakes (DRIs)
- age and, 149
  - adjustment for specific individuals and populations, 41, 147-150, 163
  - applicable population, 3, 22, 26, 41
  - applications in individual-level assessments, 4, 46, 66-69
  - availability and reliability of data, 27
  - body weight and, 148
  - categories; *see* Adequate Intakes; Estimated Average Requirements; Recommended Dietary Allowances; Tolerable Upper Intake Levels
  - characteristics of usual nutrient intake by, 129
  - criteria for, 3-4, 22, 27
  - defined, 1, 22, 256
  - describing dietary survey data with, 14-15, 127, 128-129
  - effects of variation in, 23
  - energy intake and, 149-150, 163
  - framework, 3-4, 182
  - group-level applications of, 2, 4, 7-13, 14-15, 127-143
  - individual-level applications of, 2, 4, 46, 66-69
  - origin, 2, 179-180
  - parameters for, 181-184; *see also* Life-stage groups; Reference heights and weights
  - physiological stage and, 149
  - properties of, 26
  - RDAs and RNIs contrasted, 2-3, 22-23
  - risk of inadequacy, 24
  - single-endpoint approach, 3-4, 22
  - uncertainty in, 27
- Dietary reference standards
- changes over time, 2, 29-31
  - choosing for individuals, 50-51
  - conceptual framework, 2, 30-31
  - current uses, 29-42
  - defined, 255
  - primary applications, 2, 29-30
  - users, 31
- Dietary status, defined, 255
- Dietary survey data
- adjusting intake distributions, 96, 128
  - describing, 14-15, 127, 128-129
  - distribution of usual intakes from, 10, 14-15, 96, 127-128, 133-134, 142-143, 205-206
  - evaluating, 14-15, 127, 128, 132-143
  - and group-level assessments, 10, 96, 98-102, 128-129, 132-143
  - pooling for standard deviation in intakes, 53, 54-55, 58, 64, 65, 195-196, 198-199, 200, 201-202
  - sample size considerations, 98-99
  - sampling weights, 96, 133
- Disease risk assessment, 36-37, 40
- Distribution of observed intakes. *See also* Adjusting intake distributions
- defined, 256
  - and individual-level assessments, 61-62, 190, 198-199, 201
  - skewed/asymmetrical, 56, 61-62, 95-96, 190, 196, 197, 201
  - usual intake distribution estimated from, 94
  - variance of, 94
- Distribution of requirements. *See also* Joint distribution of intake and requirement; Nutrient requirements
- and bias, 197
  - and cut-point method, 11, 81, 83, 86, 89-91, 163, 208, 209, 212, 229-231

- defined, 256
  - log normal, 91, 229-231
  - normal/symmetrical, 74, 77, 81, 190, 205n.1, 207, 208
  - skewed/asymmetrical, 46, 50-51, 57, 67, 80, 81, 89-91, 197, 207, 212, 229-231
  - variance of, 8, 53n.1, 162-163, 188
  - Distribution of usual intakes. *See also* Adjusting intake distributions; Joint distribution of intake and requirement
    - characteristics of, 14-15, 128-129
    - defined, 256
    - EAR cut-point method and, 131, 212, 213
    - for group-level assessments, 94, 99-102, 155
    - multiple regression analyses of, 133, 134-140
    - from observed intake, 94
    - from one day of intake data, 99-100, 101-102
    - and prevalence of inadequate intakes, 14-15, 130-131, 135-139
    - regression-adjusted differences in means, 135
    - from replicate intake data, 99-100
    - risk curve and, 78-80, 121, 205-206, 208
    - skewed, 95-96, 209
    - software for estimating, 160
    - spread/variance, 93
    - in subpopulations, 14-15, 132-139, 142-143
    - supplement use and, 155, 164
    - from survey data, 10, 14-15, 96, 127-128, 133-134, 142-143, 205-206
    - ULs and, 13, 120-121, 130-131
    - univariate, 76-77, 209
  - Dose-response assessment, 13, 114, 121, 124, 256
- E**
- Energy intakes
    - bias related to, 164
    - cut-point method applied to, 81, 88-89, 212, 224
    - and dietary intake measurements, 152, 153, 160
    - and DRIs, 149-150, 163
    - group-level assessments, 132
    - group mean intake, 103, 132
    - household-level assessments and, 232-233, 234, 235
    - inappropriate measures for, 15, 81, 88-89, 143, 224
    - phosphorus and, 63-64
    - and population level assessments, 236, 237
    - requirement correlation, 81, 87, 88-89, 212
    - weight as measure of, 66-67, 69
    - within-person variability, 95, 156, 193-194
  - Error. *See* Measurement error
  - Estimated Average Requirements (EARs)
    - adjustments to, 148, 149-150
    - AIs compared, 59, 109, 163, 198
    - coefficient of variation, 50-51, 56, 194, 196
    - context for use, 23
    - criteria of adequacy, 23, 27
    - cut-point method, 9, 74, 81-93, 99, 102, 104, 191, 208-231, 257
    - defined, 3, 23, 50, 256
    - dietary intake distribution adjustments, 53n.1, 54, 56, 93-102
    - in food and nutrition assistance programs, 39
    - in group-level assessment, 4, 8-9, 10-11, 12, 73-105, 130-131, 204
    - group-mean intakes and, 12, 103-104
    - in individual-level assessments, 4, 5-6, 46, 50-51, 52-58, 59, 67, 68, 69, 185, 186-197
    - median vs. mean intake, 23 n.1
    - by nutrient and life-stage group, 268-269
    - probability approach, 8-9, 74, 76-81, 83-84, 88-89, 91, 205-208, 209, 212, 213, 229, 231
    - rationale for term, 23 n.1
    - and RDA, 23, 24, 25, 54, 56, 103
    - research needs, 16-17, 162-163
    - risk of inadequacy, 24
    - standard deviation of intake for individual, 24, 52-53, 54, 195-196
    - uncertainty in, 27, 159-160
    - uses, 30, 42
    - variability related to, 50, 159-160
  - Expected risk of inadequacy, 206

## F

- Fat, 193-194
- Fiber, dietary, 193-194
- Fluoride
  - Als, 25, 107, 108, 109, 246-247, 274
  - distribution of usual intake, 121
  - group-level assessments, 10-11, 82-83, 108
  - prevalence of inadequate intakes, 10-11, 73, 110
  - prevalence of excess intakes, 14-15, 131, 142-143
  - ULs, 115, 131, 270
- Folate
  - EARs, 14-15, 129, 269
  - FAO/WHO requirement, 22
  - food fortification, 42
  - group-level assessments, 10-11, 82-83, 108, 131-132
  - individual-level assessments, 68, 191, 192
  - and neural tube defects, 26, 27, 69
  - and pregnancy planning, 69
  - prevalence of inadequate intakes, 14-15, 130, 131-132, 142-143
  - RDAs, 129, 131-132, 275
  - risk of excessive intake, 14-15, 121, 124, 142-143
  - subgroup differences, 14-15, 142-143
  - supplement intake distribution, 121, 124
  - ULs, 14-15, 117, 271
  - usual intake by children, 129, 130, 131-132
  - within-subject variation in intake, 191, 192
- Food and Agriculture Organization/  
World Health Organization, 22, 89, 91, 229-231, 232-233, 234
- Food and nutrition assistance programs, 34-35, 39, 166
- Food balance sheets, 236-237
- Food composition data, 17, 152, 154, 157, 160, 164
- Food consumption
  - household data, 104
  - patterns, 32-33, 152
- Food disappearance data, 104, 157, 236-237, 255-256
- Food-frequency questionnaires, 49, 58, 151-152

- Food Guide Pyramid, 33, 38, 157
- Food guides, 32-33, 38, 58
- Food labeling and nutritional marketing, 36-37, 41
- Food product development, 36-37, 42
- Food safety considerations, 36-37, 42
- Food Stamp Program, 35, 39, 133-139
- Food use data, 235-236
- Fortification of foods, 26
  - household-level assessment and, 234-235
  - mandatory in U.S., 42
  - measuring nutrient intakes from, 164-165
  - RDAs and RNI used for, 36-37, 39, 42
  - and ULs, 26, 124, 125, 201
  - voluntary, 42

## G

- Gender, within-subject variation in  
nutrient intake by, 191-194
- Group diets, 30
- Group-level assessments
  - adjusting intake distributions, 9-10, 93-102
  - Als used in, 4, 12, 106, 109-112
  - applications of DRIs in, 4, 7-13, 14-15, 127-143
  - asymmetrical requirement distribution, 89-91, 212, 229-231
  - binary variables used for inadequacy, 140
  - collection of dietary intake data for, 9, 94, 96
  - counting individuals with inadequate intakes, 9, 74, 75, 102, 110
  - cut-point method, 9, 71, 81-93, 99, 102, 110, 191, 204, 208-231, 257
  - data other than intakes used in, 73, 89, 99
  - day-to-day variability in intake and, 9, 94-95, 96, 97-98, 102, 139
  - differences in nutrient intakes, 132-140
  - distribution of usual intakes, 94, 99-102, 155
  - EARs used in, 4, 8-9, 10-11, 73-105, 130-131, 204
  - evaluating dietary survey data, 132-143
  - inappropriate approaches, 102-104

- independence of intakes and
    - requirements, 81, 83-84, 85, 86, 88
  - individual-to-individual variation of
    - intakes and, 8, 93, 94, 95, 96, 134
  - joint distribution in, 83-84, 85, 86, 204, 208, 209, 213
  - mean intakes and, 12, 103-104, 134, 138-139
  - observed mean intakes and, 12, 96, 97
  - prevalence of excessive intakes, 14-15, 42, 130, 131, 142-143, 154-155
  - prevalence of inadequate intakes, 8, 12, 73-74, 76-81, 86, 87-89, 94, 99, 101, 102, 109-110, 129-132, 135-139, 203-210, 213, 214-221, 225-226
  - probability approach, 8-9, 74, 76-81, 83-84, 88-89, 91, 205-208, 209, 212, 213, 229, 231
  - RDAs and, 4, 11, 24, 102-104, 131
  - requirement distribution and, 8, 11, 81, 83, 86, 89-91, 208, 209
  - requirement-intake correlation, 8, 9, 74, 81, 87-89, 203-204, 212-221
  - requirement variance relative to intake variance, 11, 12, 83, 86, 91-93, 212, 224-229
  - research recommendations, 16-17, 165-167
  - skewed intake distribution and, 95-96
  - survey data and, 10, 14-15, 96, 98-102, 128-129, 132-143
  - UIs used in, 4, 13, 120-124, 130-131
  - units of observation, 104
  - usual intakes and, 7, 8, 9, 76-77, 81, 83-84, 85, 96, 97
  - within-person variation in intakes and, 9, 10, 94-95, 96
- Group mean intakes
- AIs and, 6, 12, 107, 108, 110, 111, 131
  - defined, 258
  - EARs and, 12, 103-104, 131
  - and group-level assessments, 12, 14-15, 103-104, 131, 134, 138-139
  - RDAs and, 12, 103, 128
- H**
- Health claims, 41
  - Hospital patients, menu planning for, 41
  - Household inventories, 153
- Household-level assessments
- adequacy of nutrient intakes, 233-234
  - application, 234-235
  - defined, 257
  - dietary data used in, 104, 156-157, 235-236
  - food energy, 232-233
  - household requirement and, 232-233, 234
  - nutrients, 233-235
  - population of households, 233
- I**
- Inadequacy of nutrient intake, 187, 205, 257
  - Indicators of nutrient adequacy, risk reduction-based, 2, 23, 27
  - Individual-level assessments
    - AI used in, 4, 6-7, 46, 51, 58-62, 67, 68, 69, 194, 198-200
    - applications of DRIs in, 4, 46, 66-69
    - in assisted living setting, 66-67, 68
    - biological parameters considered, 47, 66, 67, 69
    - choosing reference standard for, 50-51
    - confidence of adequacy, 6, 56-57, 60, 64-65, 67, 68, 189-190, 197, 199, 200
    - confidence of safety, 199, 201
    - day-to-day variability in intake and, 5, 6, 45, 48-49, 50, 51, 52, 54, 55-56, 60, 186, 187, 188, 191-196
    - dietary intake data for, 49-50, 54
    - distribution of daily intakes and, 61-62, 190, 198-199, 201
    - EAR used in, 4, 5-6, 46, 50-51, 52-58, 59, 67, 68, 69, 185, 186-197
    - implementation of approach, 193-195
    - lifestyle information, 69
    - limitations of methods, 45-46, 195-197
    - measurement of dietary intake and, 47, 48-50, 51, 54, 56, 58, 67, 187
    - observed intakes and, 5, 6, 49, 50, 51, 66, 185, 188
    - observed mean intakes and, 5-6, 37, 45, 48-50, 51, 52, 55, 58, 60-61, 62, 63, 67, 185, 186, 188
    - precision of, 46-47
    - in pregnancy planning context, 67-69
    - probability of inadequacy, 5

- proposed new method, 46-66
  - qualitative interpretation of intakes, 62, 65, 68
  - RDAs and, 4, 6, 46, 51, 54, 56, 57, 68, 69
  - requirement differences and, 5, 6, 45, 46, 50-51, 57-58, 186, 188, 190
  - research recommendations, 165
  - statistical foundations, 185-202
  - supplement use and, 7, 62, 63, 65-66, 201-202
  - types of information required for, 47, 66, 67, 69, 186
  - ULs used in, 4, 7, 46, 51, 62-66, 67, 68, 69, 199, 201-202
  - uncertainty in, 45, 51, 188-189, 201
  - usual intakes and, 5, 7, 45, 46, 47, 48-50, 51, 52, 58, 59-60, 64, 185-186, 187
  - z-test, 6, 189, 198-200, 201
  - Infants, AI derivation for, 25, 107, 109, 110, 239-240
  - Institutional dietary assessment and planning
    - for hospital patients, 41
    - RDAs and RNIs used in, 36-37, 39, 40, 41
    - requirement variance greater than intake variance, 91-93, 228-229
  - Intraindividual variability, defined, 257
  - Intraindividual variability. *See also* Within-person variation in intakes
    - defined, 257
  - Iowa State University method, 98-102, 160
  - Iron, 22, 42, 46, 50-51, 57, 67, 80, 81, 89, 91, 149, 158, 191, 192, 197, 212, 230
- J**
- Joint distribution of intake and requirement
    - collecting data on, 76
    - cut-point method, 83-84, 85, 86, 204, 208, 209, 213
    - defined, 257
    - estimation of, 203-204, 209
    - probability approach, 77
- L**
- Life-stage groups. *See also* Adolescents; Adults; Children; Infants; *individual nutrients*
    - AIs of nutrients by, 107-109, 240-253
    - categories, 183
    - and derivation of DRIs, 149, 181
    - EARs of nutrients by, 268-269
    - ULs of nutrients by, 115-119, 270-271
  - Lifestyle information, 69
  - Likelihood
    - of adequacy, 233
    - defined, 258
  - LOAEL, 114, 115-119, 121, 122, 258
  - Log normal distribution, 91, 229-231
  - Long-term care facilities, 91-93
- M**
- Magnesium
    - distribution of usual intake, 121, 191, 192
    - EARs, 268
    - group-level assessments, 10-11, 82-83, 108, 129
    - individual-level assessments, 53-57, 191, 192
    - prevalence of inadequate intakes, 10-11, 142-143
    - prevalence of excess intakes, 14-15, 131, 142-143
    - RDAs, 274
    - risk of excessive intakes, 14-15, 63
    - supplement intake distribution, 124
    - ULs, 115, 130, 270
  - Malnutrition, 26
  - Mean intake. *See also* Group mean intakes; Observed mean intakes
    - regression-adjusted differences in, 135
  - Mean requirement, defined, 258
  - Measuring dietary intakes
    - bias in, 17, 164
    - bioavailability considerations, 157-158
    - chronic illness and, 156
    - eating practices and, 152, 156
    - encouraging accurate reporting, 153-154
    - energy intake and, 152, 153, 160
    - food composition data and, 17, 152, 154, 157, 160, 164-165

- for group-level assessments, 97-98
  - for individual-level assessments, 47, 48-50, 51, 54, 56, 58, 67, 187
  - instruments for, 16, 17, 49, 150, 151-153, 164
  - life circumstance considerations, 156
  - memory probes and cues, 154
  - physiological considerations, 16
  - portion size considerations, 152, 154, 160
  - research recommendations, 17, 163-165
  - seasonality/periodicity considerations, 155-156
  - supplement use, 17, 150, 154-155, 164
  - systematic variations and, 155-156, 160
  - unit of measurement and, 158, 165
  - unit of observation and, 156-157
  - variance in, 160-161
  - Measurement error
    - defined, 257
    - in individual nutritional assessment, 57-58
    - influence on assessment results, 147
    - minimizing, 147, 150-158
    - model, 97-98
    - within-person variation in intake, 49-50, 58
  - Military food and nutrition planning and policy, 34-37, 39
  - Multiple regression analyses, 133, 134-140, 166
- N**
- National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 58, 65, 99, 155, 164, 166, 195-196, 199
  - National Research Council method, 93-94, 97-98, 100
  - National School Lunch Program, 35
  - Neural tube defects, 26, 27, 69
  - Niacin
    - bioavailability, 158
    - EARs, 50, 149, 150, 197, 268
    - energy intake and, 149, 150
    - group-level assessments, 10-11, 82-83, 108, 129, 130, 131
    - individual-level assessments, 48, 191, 192
    - prevalence of excess intakes, 14-15, 142-143
    - prevalence of inadequate intakes, 14-15, 142-143
    - RDA, 275
    - risk of excessive intakes, 14-15, 142-143
    - supplement intake distribution, 121, 124
    - ULs, 117, 122, 124, 271
    - units, 158
  - NOAEL, 114, 115-119, 121, 122, 258
  - Normal distribution, defined, 258
  - Normative storage requirement, 22
  - Nutrient assessment of groups. *See* Group-level assessments
  - Nutrient assessment of individuals. *See* Individual-level assessments
  - Nutrient content claims, 41
  - Nutrient density approach, 208, 234-235
  - Nutrient equivalents, 158
  - Nutrient intakes. *See* Observed intakes; Observed mean intakes; Usual intakes of nutrients
  - Nutrient-nutrient interactions, 63
  - Nutrient requirement. *See also* Distribution of requirements; Standard deviation of requirements
    - average/mean, 74, 75, 77, 207
    - correlated with usual intakes, 8, 81, 87-89, 212-224
    - criterion of nutritional adequacy, 22
    - CV, 83, 187
    - defined, 22, 47, 258, 259
    - household-level, 232-233, 234
    - independence of usual intakes, 81, 83-84, 85, 86, 88
    - and intake variance, 5, 11, 47, 83, 86, 91-93, 161, 205, 212, 224-229
    - nutrient intake compared, 5, 47, 52
    - per capita, 237
    - uncertainty for individuals, 5, 6, 45, 46, 50-51, 57-58, 165-166, 186, 188, 189, 190
    - variance of, 11, 83, 86, 91-93, 161, 205, 212, 224-229, 234
  - Nutrition education, 32-33, 35-36, 37, 38, 39, 42
  - Nutritional Standards for Operational Rations, 37
  - Nutritional status, 258

## O

- Observed intakes, 56-57. *See also*  
 Distribution of observed intakes  
 defined, 185  
 exceeding AIs, 59-60  
 heterogeneous within-person variation,  
 95  
 and individual-level assessments, 5, 6,  
 49, 50, 51, 66, 185, 188  
 settings appropriate for measuring, 66  
 short-term, 185  
 usual intakes from, 49, 50, 52, 185
- Observed mean intakes  
 bias in, 58  
 computation of, 185  
 day-to-day variability and, 45  
 difference between EAR and, 188  
 and group-level assessments, 96, 97  
 and individual-level assessments, 5-6,  
 37, 45, 48-50, 51, 52, 55, 58, 60-61,  
 62, 63, 67, 185, 186, 188  
 qualitative interpretation relative to  
 AIs, 62  
 skewed, 95-96  
 and ULs, 63-64  
 usual intake from, 186
- Osteomalacia, 107

## P

- Pantothenic acid  
 AIs, 25, 110, 248-249, 275  
 group-level assessments, 10-11, 73, 82-  
 83, 108
- Performance assessment, cut-point  
 method, 18, 87, 102, 167, 211-231
- Phosphorus  
 AIs, 108, 274  
 distribution of usual intakes, 121  
 EARs, 99-102, 129, 130, 131, 268  
 energy and, 63-64  
 group-level assessments, 10-11, 82-83,  
 99-102, 129, 130, 131  
 individual-level assessments, 63-65, 68,  
 191, 192  
 prevalence of excessive intakes, 14-15,  
 142-143  
 prevalence of inadequate intakes, 14-  
 15, 142-143  
 RDAs, 129, 274  
 risk of excessive intakes, 14-15, 121,  
 142-143  
 ULs, 63-65, 116, 130, 270
- Physiological considerations, 149
- Population-level assessments  
 defined, 258  
 demographically weighted averages,  
 233, 237, 238  
 dietary data used in, 104, 157, 236-237  
 food energy, 236, 237  
 nutrients, 237-238  
 population mean intake and, 125  
 prevalence of inadequate intakes, 81-  
 82
- Potassium, 191, 192
- Power transformation, 97-98
- Pregnancy, 27  
 folate and, 69  
 individual-level nutrient assessment  
 for, 67-69
- Prevalence, defined, 258
- Prevalence of excess intakes, 42  
 usual intakes compared to UL, 14-15,  
 130, 131, 142-143  
 underestimation, 154-155
- Prevalence of inadequate intakes  
 adjustment of intake distributions and,  
 94, 104  
 AIs and, 12, 109-110  
 bias in, 86, 88, 91, 99, 102, 155, 156,  
 160, 207, 214, 215, 216, 221-223,  
 224, 227  
 binary variables and, 140  
 bioavailability considerations, 157-158  
 by children, 130-131  
 comparison of two populations, 135-  
 139  
 counting approach, 74, 75  
 by cut-point method, 18, 86, 104, 209-  
 210, 213, 214-221, 225-226, 230  
 defined, 8, 206, 259  
 EAR and, 14-15, 86, 104, 130-131, 142-  
 143, 209-210, 213, 214-221, 225-226,  
 230  
 in group-level assessments, 8, 12, 14-15,  
 73-74, 76-81, 86, 87-89, 94, 99, 101,  
 102, 109-110, 129-132, 135-139, 142-  
 143, 203-210, 213, 214-221, 225-226  
 in individual-level assessment, 5  
 joint distribution of intake and  
 requirement and, 203-204, 208

overestimation, 87-89, 93, 94, 102-104, 153-154  
 performance of methods to estimate, 18  
 population, 81-82, 86  
 probability approach to estimating, 74, 76-81, 205-208  
 RDAs/RNIs and, 32-33, 35, 104  
 standard deviation of estimates, 17-18, 158-161, 165-166  
 statistical approaches, 18, 76-93, 203  
 in subpopulations, 18, 166  
 uncertainty in, 158-161  
 underestimation, 89-93, 94, 102  
 usual intake distribution and, 14-15, 130-131, 135-139  
 zero, 90  
 Prison populations, 91-92  
 Probability approach (full)  
 correlation of intake and requirement and, 8, 88-89  
 cut-point method compared, 208, 209, 212, 213, 229, 231  
 density estimation, 208  
 EAR calculation, 8-9, 74, 76-81, 83-84, 88-89, 91, 205-208, 209, 212, 213, 229, 231  
 key assumptions, 80  
 normal model, 208  
 performance of model, 208, 212  
 principle, 8, 232  
 risk curve, 77-80, 91, 124, 205-206, 208  
 software, 207  
 $t$  model, 208  
 uncertainty in, 158-159  
 Probability of inadequacy, 56-57, 153-154, 259  
 Program participation, and adequacy of nutrient intakes, 35, 39, 133-139  
 Protein, 91, 148, 193-194, 233  
 Provitamin A carotenoids, 158

## Q

Qualitative assessment of nutrient intakes  
 AIs and, 62  
 individual-level, 62, 65, 68  
 ULs and, 65

## R

Recommended daily intakes, 23  
 Recommended Dietary Allowances (RDAs)  
 adjustments to, 26, 148, 150  
 AIs compared, 26-27, 59, 109, 198  
 as benchmarks, 40  
 and clinical dietetics, 36-37, 41  
 context for use, 23, 111  
 defined, 2, 3, 11, 24, 29, 102, 131, 257, 259  
 demographically weighted, 237, 238  
 derivation of, 24  
 and dietary data evaluation, 32-33, 38  
 and disease risk assessment, 36-37, 40  
 DRIs contrasted, 2-3, 22-23  
 EAR and, 23, 24, 25, 54, 56, 103  
 extrapolation from other age groups, 26  
 and food and nutrition assistance programs, 34-35  
 and food guides, 32-33  
 and food labeling and nutritional marketing, 36-37, 41  
 and food safety, 36-37, 42  
 and fortification of foods, 36-37, 42  
 and group-level assessments, 4, 11, 24, 102-104, 127, 131  
 group-mean intakes compared, 12, 103, 128  
 inappropriate use of, 11, 102-104, 127, 128, 131, 237-238  
 and individual-level assessments, 4, 6, 46, 51, 54, 56, 57, 68, 69  
 and institutional dietary assessment and planning, 36-37, 40  
 and military food and nutrition planning and policy, 34-37, 39  
 nutrients by life-stage group, 274-276  
 and nutrition education, 32-33  
 for population-level assessments, 237-238  
 research needs, 16-17, 162-163  
 risk of inadequacy, 24  
 RNIs contrasted, 30  
 ULs and, 126  
 uncertainty in, 27, 126  
 uses, 24, 31-42

- Reference Daily Intake, 41
- Reference heights and weights, 89, 181-182, 183, 184
- Research recommendations
- group level assessment methods, 17-18, 165-167
  - improving requirement data, 16-17, 162-163
  - individual level assessment methods, 165
  - quality of dietary intake data, 17, 163-165
- Riboflavin
- Als, 275
  - EARs, 268
  - energy intake and, 149
  - group-level assessments, 10-11, 82-83, 108, 129, 130, 131
  - individual-level assessments, 68, 191, 192
  - prevalence of inadequate intakes, 14-15, 142-143
  - RDAs, 275
- Rickets, 107
- Risk
- defined, 259
  - of excess, 260
  - of exposure, 260
  - of inadequacy, 24, 59, 205-206, 260
  - weighted average of, 206
- Risk assessment
- defined, 259
  - discuss, 36-37, 40
  - for UIs, 13, 24, 25, 62, 113-114, 120-125
- Risk curve, 77-80, 91, 120, 124, 163, 260
- and distribution of usual intakes, 78-80, 121, 205-206, 208
- Risk-reduction based indicator of nutrient adequacy, 2, 23, 27
- S**
- Sample size considerations, 98-99
- Sampling weights, 96, 133
- School Breakfast Program, 35
- Selenium, 10-11, 14, 82-83, 108, 116, 129, 130, 131, 142, 269, 271, 276
- Sensitivity analysis, defined, 260
- Single-endpoint approach, 3-4, 22
- Skewed distribution
- adjusting, 46, 61-62, 95-96
  - defined, 260
  - of nutrient requirements, 46, 50-51, 57, 67, 80, 81, 89-91, 197, 207, 212, 229-231
  - of observed intakes, 56, 61-62, 95-96, 190, 196, 197, 201
  - of usual intakes, 95-96, 209
- Sodium, 191, 192
- Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children. *See* WIC program
- Standard deviation
- of difference between mean observed intake and EAR ( $SD_D$ ), 52-54, 68, 188, 192-193
  - EAR and, 24, 52-53, 54, 195-196
  - of intakes, 53-56, 58, 60-61, 64-65, 68, 187-188, 191-196, 199-201
  - mean intake and, 65
  - pooled from large surveys, 53, 54-55, 58, 64, 65, 195-196, 198-199, 200, 201-202
  - in prevalence estimates, 74, 158-161, 212
  - by vitamin or mineral, 191-192
  - within-person, 6, 51, 52-53, 54, 56, 68, 191-195
  - z-test, 6
- Standard deviation of prevalence of nutrient inadequacy
- collection of intake data and, 160-161
  - EAR-related, 159-160
  - for individuals, 46, 52-53, 54-56, 58, 60, 61, 195-196
  - sampling variability and, 159
- Standard deviation of requirements, 1
- CV estimates and, 57-58, 191, 197
  - and group-level assessments, 52, 58, 74, 93, 212, 225-227
  - incorrect specification of, 197
  - for individual-level assessments, 52, 53, 54, 68, 188, 194, 197
  - population, 186
  - RDA computation, 24
- Standardized predicted intake, 136-137
- Subpopulations
- distribution of usual intakes in, 14-15, 132-139, 142-143
  - prevalence of inadequacy in, 18, 166
- Summer Food Service Program, 35
- Supplement use
- and adjustment of DRIs, 149
  - averaging over time, 155

- and distribution of usual intakes, 155, 164
  - and group-level assessments, 95
  - in hospitals, 41
  - and individual-level assessments, 7, 62, 63, 65-66, 201-202
  - in military operational conditions, 39
  - quantifying intakes from, 17, 150, 154-155, 164
  - and ULs, 7, 26, 62, 63, 65-66, 122-125, 155-156, 201-202
  - Surveys. *See* Dietary survey data; *individual surveys*
  - Symmetrical distribution
    - defined, 260
    - of nutrient requirements, 74, 77, 81, 190, 205n.1, 207, 208
- T**
- t* model, 208
  - t* tests, 133, 137
  - Thiamin
    - AIs, 274
    - EARs, 268
    - energy intake and, 149, 150
    - group-level assessments, 10-11, 82-83, 108, 129, 130, 131
    - individual-level assessments, 68, 191, 192
    - prevalence of inadequate intakes, 14-15, 142-143
    - RDAs, 274
  - Threshold, 260
  - Thrifty Food Plan, 35, 39
  - Tolerable Upper Intake Levels (ULs)
    - chronic intakes above, 63, 125, 126
    - context for use, 113, 120, 124
    - critical adverse effect, 115-119
    - defined, 3, 25, 62, 114, 125, 261
    - derivation of, 26
    - dose-response assessment, 13, 114, 121, 124
    - in food and nutrition assistance programs, 39
    - food fortification and, 26, 124, 125, 201
    - and food guides, 38
    - food safety considerations, 42
    - frequently asked questions, 125-126
    - in group-level assessments, 4, 13, 120-124, 130-131
    - in individual nutritional assessment, 4, 7, 46, 51, 62-66, 67, 68, 69, 199, 201-202
    - LOAEL/NOAEL, 114, 115-119, 121, 122, 258
    - nutrients, by life-stage group, 115-119, 270-271
    - population mean intake and, 125
    - qualitative interpretation of intakes
      - relative to, 65
    - rationale for term, 25
    - RDAs and, 126
    - research recommendations, 163
    - risk assessment approach, 13, 24, 25, 62, 113-114, 120-125
    - supplement use and, 7, 26, 62, 63, 65-66, 122-125, 154-155, 201-202
    - theory and definitions, 113-120
    - type of intake and, 7, 62, 114, 120-121, 124, 202
    - uncertainty factor, 13, 27, 114-120, 122, 202, 261
    - uscs, 23, 30, 42
    - usual intake distributions and, 13, 120-121, 130-131
    - vulnerable subpopulations, 114, 124
  - Toxicity, defined, 260
  - True prevalence, 261
- U**
- Uncertainty
    - in adequacy of nutrient intake, 186, 188-189
    - in cut-point method, 158-159
    - in DRIs, 27
    - in EAR, 27, 159-160
    - in individual-level assessment, 45, 51, 188-189, 201
    - in nutrient requirements, 5, 6, 45, 46, 50-51, 57-58, 165-166, 186, 188, 189, 190
  - Uncertainty factor, 13, 27, 114-120, 122, 202, 261
  - United Nations University, 233, 234

Units of observation. *See also* Group-level assessments; Household-level assessments; Individual-level assessments; Population-level assessments  
 defined, 261  
 and measuring dietary intakes, 156-157

Univariate distribution  
 defined, 261  
 of usual intakes, 76-77, 209

Unmixing algorithm, 97

U.S. Department of Agriculture  
 dietary guidelines, 32-33, 38  
 food plans, 35, 39  
 food use data adjustments, 235  
 Nutrient Database for Standard Reference, 157

U.S. Department of Defense, 39

Usual intakes of nutrients, 1. *See also*  
 Adjusting intake distributions;  
 Distribution of usual intakes  
 and AI, 46, 59-60, 110, 126  
 average, 74, 75  
 confidence levels, 6, 56, 64-65  
 correlated with requirements, 8, 81, 87-89, 212-224  
 defined, 93, 185-186, 261  
 descriptive analyses of, 133-134  
 and EARs, 14-15, 130-131  
 estimation challenges, 49  
 group-level assessments, 76-77, 81, 83-84, 85, 96, 97, 130-131  
 independent of requirement, 81, 83-84, 85, 86, 88  
 individual-level assessment, 5, 7, 45, 46, 47, 48-50, 51, 52, 58, 59-60, 64, 185-186, 187  
 mean of, 74  
 number of days needed to estimate, 6, 48-49, 187  
 from observed intakes, 49, 50, 52, 185  
 from observed mean intakes, 97, 186  
 obtaining information on, 48-50, 58  
 random error in, 58, 164  
 and ULs, 7, 64  
 variance of, 11, 83, 161

## V

Variance in dietary assessment. *See also*  
 Within-person variation in intakes  
 collection of intake data and, 94, 160-161  
 in day-to-day intakes, 5, 53, 60, 94  
 in distribution of nutrient  
 requirement, 8, 53n.1, 162-163, 188  
 in distribution of observed intakes, 94  
 in distribution of usual intakes, 93  
 EAR-related variability, 50, 159-160  
 measurement error, 98  
 representative subsamples of groups, 158  
 sampling variability, 159  
 standard deviation of prevalence estimates, 158-161

Vitamin A, 22, 46, 49, 56, 63, 67, 95, 191, 192, 197, 200

Vitamin B<sub>6</sub>, 10-11, 14, 82-83, 99-102, 108, 117, 122, 126, 129, 130, 131, 142, 191, 192, 269, 271, 275

Vitamin B<sub>12</sub>, 10-11, 14, 15, 22, 46, 63, 67, 82-83, 108, 129, 130, 131, 142, 143, 149, 191, 192, 200, 269, 275

Vitamin C, 10-11, 14, 46, 48, 56, 63, 67, 82-83, 108, 118, 121, 129, 130, 131, 142, 191, 192, 197, 269, 271, 276

Vitamin D, 10-11, 15, 51, 68, 73, 82-83, 107, 108, 110, 118, 131, 143, 149, 244-245, 270, 274

Vitamin E, 10-11, 14, 46, 56, 63, 67, 82-83, 108, 116, 121, 124, 129, 130, 131, 142, 164, 191, 192, 197, 269, 271, 276

## W

Weight history, 67, 69

WIC program, 34-35, 39

Within-person variation in intakes  
 adjusting intake distributions for, 9, 94-95, 96, 196-197  
 asymmetrical, 56  
 of cholesterol, 193-194

computation of, 195-196  
and group-level assessment, 94-95  
heterogeneous, 95  
and individual-level assessment, 5, 6,  
45, 48-49, 50, 51, 52, 54, 55-56, 60,  
186, 187, 188, 191-196  
large, 94-95  
by macronutrient, 193-194  
pooled estimate of, 50, 54-55, 65, 195-  
196, 202

sociocultural factors, 156  
standard deviation, 6, 51, 52-53, 54, 56,  
68, 191-196, 202  
by vitamin or mineral, 191-192

## Z

z-test, 6, 189, 198-200, 201  
Zinc, 158, 191, 192